19 Schober 1761

MEMORANDUM FOR I Colonel Johnson

YLA

- I Mr. Ellis

SUBJECT .

1 Ouidones set Dr. Mire CARDONA

Prierece

1 Your hismorandum for the Roserd of 18 October 1961.

- 1. In your discension with State and MIRO it might be wise, for excurity reasons, to assume they have little specific knowledge of our operations and certainly of specific individuals who have some someoction with C.L.A. For example, when they speak of Conto Agreero, it has gone too far for at to dany any relationship, but we should firmly basist C.L.A. is not directly in teach with Conto.
- I. Realists; the difficult handling problem yes have, I am petting down my een reactions to some of the parts in your memo, which may, I hope, he of some ascistance.
- 3. Regarding your paregraph 2, thom a, I do not bellow we should, for occurrity reasons alone; revoal to him or State our operations in this area. Much of the time when they raise is sugar like this they are simply goessing and finding. Frequently their grantee on our ansols are very erements. Usually, their ferays een be commissed by eaving no agree that the propagacie 'lines' should be discussed or they should tell us wind they think the 'line' should be and we will comment if we disagree. In short, we are willing to commity try to support them, but we are not obligated to reveal to State or MIRO our specific operations and personnel which should be to a normal structure clargestime. Accordingly, I would seggest that you encourage the URC toward directing some of the million deliars the 7 bits lives pro-nised them into terming a functioning propagable commission and suggest they then tell as what they are doing and plan to do, so that if we have assets and approvals from our people we will be to a position to coordinate our e lorta mita thairs.
- 4. Regarding your paragraph i, b, those seem in general sumd enough. Toll him to heap so advised as to what he proposes to do with his tends in this limit. Surely be tested, so he is giving us orders as to what we should do here in our country.

- 5. Regarding your paragraph 2, c., you may wish to remind him of his conversation with CL MK and HANLEY to Saltimore where this was discussed. You may tell him that we are as agreed and avering to provide funds to the internal groups which he indicated should be supported. Naturally the means are secret. If he has a particular leader or group incide he wants us to try, to support he should tell us. We could seggest some of his million dollars could be utilised by him also for this purpose. As to commands action, the State Department, which sets policy, has not authorized any commands operations of any him and we are accordingly not in a position to organize or support any for the present.
- 5. Regarding your paragraph 3 on Commands Action, this plan is not yet in Hondquarters; however, we have no policy authorisation from State for each an operation.
- 7. Regarding your item 6, you will recall and he will recall, he took this up with GOOD IN and then with RUE's ITZ and then with CLARK and HANLEY. He was unable then to say exactly how or even if he could develop a commo line to Ramires much less how he would get arms to RANKEZ, or anybody size by sir, and in either boat or sir operations there would have to be some assurance that he had the capability to arrange a reception party in Cuba for the arms, assuring we had the policy authorization to support this kind of operation. You should tall him that as explained by HANLEY to him, it is not enough for him to just say he would like to support a given guarilla group. He will have to have some capability for doing it before we can support him, assuming we can get policy approval for same.
- 3. Regarding your item 6, although we sympathize with his view, as Mr. HURWITZ and Mr. COERR, as well as Lir. GOODWIN, have teld him, the U.S. Government has not and does not plan to commit itself to him on the question of sending U.S. troops to Cuba.
- 9. With regard to your item 7, I sould suggest you remind him he agreed in his conversation with HANLEY and C. this that he sould arrange an amicable taxedver of the jurists which he admittedly has not done. We still have be can exert enough influence over his people to keep the mess out of the press.

- 13. With regard to your them I, we too would have to see he we not some loadership and induce these groups to note with him in one way or another, but do not agree with him that is the long run much can be accomplished by just saying I control the movey, and I am Till channel to the U.S. Government. He most keep in which that there are in existence lots of channels to the U.S. Covernment and by that we do not mean C.I.A.
- II. Regarding your item II. you probably about i emphasise to him again that he has taken over the HID budget and personnel and it is up to him to get them organized and doing comothing other than traving relief checks.
- 12. Regarding your item 14, Languest you continue your 'conditation line with MIRO, pointing out these groups are not under complete control of C.L.A., that they is many things on their own, that we marely support them from time to time, sessily indirectly. Accordingly, we are not be a position to turn them over to him, even if we marted to, which we at this point, are not sure they would agree to in any case. Its bill simply have to get into some working relationship with them, using his million deliars or isoderable, preferably the latter.

R. E. WHEDREE DC/WR/1

Distributions

Cris & 1 - Col. Johnson

1 - Mr. Elle

1 - DC/MH/4

1 - C/WH/4

1 - C/WH/4/Plans